

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington DC 20221
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/982,459	10/17/2001	Ralf Schmitt	SUN-P5405	7393	
7	590 06/19/2002				
David B. Ritchie			EXAMINER		
Thelen Reid & P.O. Box 6406	540		THOMPSON,	THOMPSON, ANNETTE M	
San Jose, CA 95164-0640			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2825		

DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	, •
	09/982,459	SCHMITT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	A. M. Thompson	2825	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of tho will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commur. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	7 October 2001 .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under			erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	II. I. E. Comment		
<ul><li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers</li></ul>	i/or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	<u></u>	the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	-		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No	
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the preparation application from the International It see the attached detailed Office action for a little</li></ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	je
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional app	lication).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	

Art Unit: 2825

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This application, 09/982,459, has been examined. Claims 1-26 are pending.

#### Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: at page 14, line 18, correct spelling for assess. At page 13, line 6, change "thought" to though. Additionally, the specification states (e.g. at page 12, lines 4-5) combining the simulations to form a complete clock net. However, the specification should more accurately state that the simulations are combined to form a complete clock net simulation. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 1, 7-10, 15, 20, 21, and 26 are objected to because of the following: Pursuant to claims 1, 10, 15, 20, 21, and 26 which recite *combining simulations to form a complete clock net*, Applicants must clarify that it is not the simulations which form a complete clock net, rather combining the simulations form the complete clock net simulation. The specification also requires similar clarification (e.g., page 12, lines 4-5). Pursuant to claims 7 and 20, at line 2, the word "assuming" denotes uncertainty. Examiner suggests use of the word *determining* in lieu of assuming. Pursuant to claim 26, at line 3, the word "assuming. Pursuant to claim 8, at line 6, Applicants should indicate where the simulated loads are being inserted (into the database ?). Pursuant to claim 9, it is the simulation that is evaluated; so, after "complete clock net" insert - simulation -. Pursuant to claim 26, at lines 5 and lines 6, use the gerund form of "re-

Application/Control Number: 09/982,459 Page 3

Art Unit: 2825

simulate", i.e., *re-simulating;* at line 8, use the gerund form of "combines". Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the **second paragraph** of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Pursuant to claims 1, 10, 15, 20, 21, and 26, they recite the limitation of *simulating the global clock net based in part on the simulated load of the plurality of clock nets*. However, Applicants' specification at page 14, lines 2-4, precisely states that the simulation is based on the layout, the component values and the simulated clock net loads. Applicants should precisely claim the limitation based on the information in the specification instead of providing the partial recitation. Pursuant to claim 8, at line 3, "the layout" lacks antecedent basis. Additionally, pursuant to claim 26, at line 3, "the apparatus" lacks antecedent basis. All remaining claims (2-7, 9, 11-14, 16-19, 22-25), not specifically rejected here, are also rejected under this code section because they depend from rejected independent claims 1, 15, or 21.
- 5. **Claim 9** recites the limitation "the results" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Art Unit: 2825

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

## Rejection of Claims 1-20

8. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Camporese et al. ("Camporese"), U.S. Patent 6,205,571 and Graef, U.S. Patent 6,305,001. Camporese discloses a clock tree distribution network for distributing a clock signal across a chip involving clock skew analysis. Although Camporese suggests Applicants' limitations, Camporese does not disclose a specific system for implementing the method. Graef also discloses a clock tree distribution planning method and additionally discloses a system for implementing the method that is a typical system used in IC designs. Graef further states that the system disclosed represents "one of many suitable computer platforms for implementing the method." (col. 15, II. 47-50). Both Camporese and Graef disclose a method involving a clock distribution network.. However, Graef details the system that would be necessary to

Art Unit: 2825

implement methods involving clock distribution networks in general. It therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicants' invention to use the system of Graef, or some similar system configuration, to implement the Camporese method.

- 9. Claims 15-18, 20, and 22-24 invoke the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph and were considered accordingly.
- 10. Pursuant to claim 1 which recites a Clock Data Model (Fig. 2 illustrates this limitation; also, col. 3, II. 48-50 discloses a clock-related electrical simulation model) for use with a method of determining clock insertion delays for a microprocessor design having grid-based clock distribution comprising,

partitioning the complete clock net into a global clock net (the first level wiring networks, e.g. Figure 2, #201; reference the Fig. 2 description at col. 4, II. 25-28) and a plurality of local clock nets (the second level of tree wires, e.g. Figure 2, #203; reference the Figure 2 description at col. 4, II. 28-31);

simulating each of the plurality of local clock nets to generate a load for each of the plurality of local clock nets on the global clock net: col. 12, II. 12-23 wherein the twig wiring represents the local clock nets; additionally, the N<sub>sector</sub> electrical lists comprise the localing for the plurality of local clock nets;

simulating the global clock net based in part on the simulated load of each of the plurality of local clock nets: col. 12, II. 12-23 wherein the twig wiring represents the local clock nets;

combining the plurality of simulations to form the complete clock net: col. 11, II. 33-50;

Art Unit: 2825

storing the plurality of simulations in the Clock Data Model: col. 11, II. 19-22, wherein the tuned netlist represents the CDM with stored simulations.

- 11. Pursuant to claim 2 wherein partitioning comprises breaking the complete clock net into equal sized parts according to rectangular grid coordinates: Figure 2 illustrates this limitation.
- 12. Pursuant to claim 3 wherein the method further comprises breaking at least one of the plurality of local clock nets down into at least one sub-local clock net: col. 4, II. 28-31 suggests the existence of sub-local clock nets depending on the embodiment.
- 13. Pursuant to claim 4 wherein the method further comprises simulating the at least one sub-local clock net prior to simulating the corresponding local clock net: Fig. 7, step 735; col. 9, II. 60-64.
- 14. Pursuant to claim 5 wherein at least two of the plurality of local clock nets are simulated in parallel: Creation of isolated net lists which represent local clock nets and are treated in parallel for tuning or simulation purposes, col. 9, II. 8-27; see also col. 9, II. 61-67 which discloses parallel tuning or simulation.
- 15. Pursuant to claim 6, wherein simulating the clock nets comprises extracting a layout of the local clock net and the conductors routed above and through the local clock net from a microprocessor network database: the creation of the electrical netlist suggests this limitation, col. 6, II. 10-65;

extracting component values of the elements of the local clock net from the microprocessor network database: col. 6, II. 48-65;

Art Unit: 2825

simulating the local clock net based on the layout and the component values: col. 6, ll. 48-65;

extracting a load of the local clock net on the global clock net: col. 6, Il. 48-65.

- 16. Pursuant to claim 7 wherein simulating the local clock net comprises assuming that the clock arrival times form the global clock net will be simultaneous at all points where the local clock net is connected to the global clock net: col. 9, II. 35-43.
- 17. Pursuant to claim 8 wherein simulating the global clock net comprises extracting the layout of the global clock net from a microprocessor network database: the creation of the electrical netlist, col. 6, II. 10-65, details the layout connections;

extracting component values of the elements of the global clock from the microprocessor network database: col. 6, II. 48-65;

inserting the simulated loads of the plurality of local clock nets: col. 6, II. 48-54; see also col. 7, II. 13-15;

simulating the global clock net based on the layout, the component values, and the simulated local clock net loads: col. 6, II. 48-65.

- 18. Pursuant to claim 9 which further comprises evaluating the complete clock net to determine whether the results converge: col. 9, II. 35-60, wherein the true point load response matrix is checked against the smoothed point load response matrix which has calculations of clock signal arrival times.
- 19. Pursuant to claim 10 wherein if the results do not converge, replacing the clock arrival times with those calculated for the simulated global clock net: col. 9, lines 47-56;

Art Unit: 2825

re-simulating one of the plurality of local clock nets to generate a load for the local and global clock net: col. 12, ll. 12-23;

re-simulating the global clock net based on the simulated or re-simulated load of each of the plurality of local clock nets: col. 12, II. 12-13 wherein the twig wiring represents the local clock nets.

combining the simulations and re-simulations to form the complete net: col. 11, Il. 33-50.

20. Pursuant to claim 11, wherein re-simulating the local clock net comprises resimulating the local clock net based on the layout, the component values, and the calculated clock arrival times: col. 6, II. 48-65;

extracting a load of the at least one local clock net on the global clock net: col. 6, II. 48-65.

- 21. Pursuant to claim 12 wherein the method comprises re-simulating at least a second of the plurality of local clock nets in parallel with the at least one local clock net:
- 22. Pursuant to claim 13, wherein re-simulating the global clock net comprises inserting the simulated or re-simulated loads of the plurality of local clock nets (col. 6, II. 48-54; see also col. 7, II. 13-15;) and

re-simulating the global clock net based on the layout, the component values, and the simulated or re-simulated local clock net loads: col. 6, II. 48-65.

23. Pursuant to claim 14, wherein the method further comprises storing the plurality of re-simulations in the Clock Data Model: col. 11, ll. 19-22.

Art Unit: 2825

- 24. Pursuant to Claim 15 which recites [a] Clock Data Model for use with a system for determining clock insertion delays for a microprocessor design having grid-based distribution, the system comprising means for partitioning the complete clock net into a global clock net and a plurality of local clock nets, means for simulating each of the plurality of local clock nets on the global clock net, means for simulating the global clock net based on the simulated load of each of the plurality of local clock nets and means for combining the plurality of simulations to form the complete clock net, the CDM comprising means for storing the simulation results (Graef discloses a system having all the ("means for")elements of this limitation at col. 15, line 42 to col. 17, line 4).
- 25. Pursuant to claim 16 further comprising means for collecting all of the information created during the plurality of simulations: Graef, col. 16, ll. 19-37.
- 26. Pursuant to claim 17 further comprising means for retrieving all of the information created during the plurality of simulations: Graef, col. 16, II. 48-53.
- 27. Pursuant to claim 18 further comprising means for querying all of the information created during the plurality of simulations: col. 16, II. 38-47.
- 28. Pursuant to claim 19 further comprising a timing tool interface to provide accurate clock arrival times for each clocked element in the microprocessor design: col. 16, II. 1-5.
- 29. Pursuant to claim 20 wherein the system further comprises means for evaluating the complete clock net to determine whether the results converge (col. 9, II. 35-60), means for *determining* that clock arrival times are those calculated for the simulated global clock net, means for re-simulating at least one of the plurality of local clock nets

Application/Control Number: 09/982,459 Page 10

Art Unit: 2825

to generate a load for at least one local clock net on the global clock net, means for simulating the global clock net based on the simulated or re-simulated load of each of the plurality of local clock nets, and means for combining the simulations and re-simulations to form the complete clock net and wherein the CDM further comprises means for storing the plurality of re-simulation results (Graef discloses a system having

## Allowable Subject Matter

all the ("means for") elements of this limitation at col. 15, line 42 to col. 17, line 4).

- 30. Claims 21-26 contain allowable subject matter.
- 31. Claim 21 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 32. Claims 22-26 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 33. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not disclose a system for determining clock insertion delays for a microprocessor design with grid-based clock distribution that includes a local clock net simulator and global clock net simulator, a merging unit and a convergence evaluator unit.

#### Conclusion

34. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please refer to the PTO-892 for a complete listing.

Art Unit: 2825

Page 11

35. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to A.M. Thompson whose telephone number is (703) 305-

7441. The Examiner can usually be reached Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00

p.m.. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Matthew S. Smith, can be reached on (703) 308-1323.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956 or the Customer Service Center whose telephone number is (703)306-3329.

Responses to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9318, (for **OFFICIAL** communications intended for entry)

(703)872-9319, (for Official **AFTER-FINAL** communications)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza 4, 2021 South Clark

Place, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

M. THOMPSON

Patent Examiner

June 17, 2002